

https://cumin.univ-lille.fr/



Subway Energy Consumption

CUMIN - REMUS

R. O. Berriel, <u>C. Mayet</u>, P. Delarue, A. Bouscayrol, C. Brocart

University of Lille, L2EP European Metropolis of Lille (MEL)





Context and Objective

REMUS – Recovery of Metro Braking Energy for a Sustainable University

• Reduce the global GHG Emission in ULille



• Increase the metro line 1 capacity with new subway





Outline



Simulation of the new vehicle



Simulation of entire subway line



Energy consumption of daily operation







- Energy recovery phase
- > 2.1% difference in energy consumption
- Validation of the simulation tool

Accurate simulation for study various cases

Simulation of the entire subway line

Vehicle model

- MNA to solve circuit
- Line pure resistive
- Vehicle \rightarrow as voltage source
- TPS \rightarrow non-linear
- Considering DC bus of vehicles

Simulation of the entire subway line

time side B side B $t_s \geq t_I$ t_{I}^{k-2} t_I^{k-1} New injection ΗC side A **Traffic management** S1 S2 S3 S4 S5 S6 S6 $t_s \ge t_I^k$ Moment at which a vehicle is introduced $t_I^k = t_I^{k-1} + H_k.$ <u>headway</u> t_I^{k-1} t_{\star}^{k-2} Previous injection time side A Transient-start Steady-state Transient-stop 4000 Adaptation? 3000 Position [m] Traffic (headway) Speed profile 2000Stop time 1000 Etc. \succ 0 200400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 0 Time [s] Vehicle 1 — Vehicle 2 — Vehicle 3 — Vehicle 4 — Vehicle 5 — Vehicle 6 Vehicle 7

Previous injection

New injection

Energy consumption of daily operation

Timetable

Carousel simulation (normal operation)

Multiple vehicles circulation 34 vehicles on peak-hours & 10 TPS

Respecting timetable

About 19h of operation

Daily key numbers:

Total energy: 82.6 MWh Total distance: 10653.6 km 426 round trips 306 passengers per vehicle in average

25.34 Wh/pass.km 0.81 gCO2eq/pass.km

32 gCO2eq/kWh (RTE, 2023)

Base operation (energy in MWh)

Energy consumption of daily operation

How can we improve?

Better aerodynamics?

Better speed profiles with better traffic management?

Multiple machines with better strategies?

More efficient auxiliaries?

Energy storage systems?

Charging systems for electric vehicles or buses?

Base operation (energy in MWh)

Image: Subway 1 Subway 3 Image: Subway 5 Image: Subway 5 Image: Subway 6 Image:

Virtual platform (full scale simulation) & Experimental platform (Reduced-scale P-HIL simulation)

Conclusion and Perspectives

7.58 km between stations "Gare Lille-Flandres" and "4 Cantons Stade Pierre Mauroy"

Daily round trip for 1 person ≈ 384 Wh / 12.3 gCO2eq (normal operation)

What about combined with other mobility? (tramway, regional train, bus, EV...)

How can we reduce the impact of mobility?

Innovative technologies? Better uses? Modal shift? Other solutions?

> Study of 2 new travels How to extend to any travel?

Clio

Essence

Diesel

VE Pologne

https://cumin.univ-lille.fr/ GES en tonnes de CO2 équivalent pour divers véhicules VE Allemagne VE France VE Suede VE Europe Batterie Motorisation Reste du Production carburant Emissions et électricité pendant conduite Conv. Int. mass Rad. Abs. Sol. Rad HVAC Subsystem is/im Window Cabin

More information **PhD** Thesis of Ryan O. Berriel **CUMIN-REMUS** December 2023

couplin